

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,204	12/15/2003	Muge M. Bakircioglu	2003P12087US	5732
75	590 06/30/2006		EXAM	AMINER
Siemens Corporation			JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J	
Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Iselin, NJ 088	330		3768	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application 21-	(Applicants)			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/737,204	BAKIRCIOGLU ET AL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jaworski Francis J.	3768			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	March 2006.				
	nis action is non-final.				
	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 2 and 41 is/are pending in the appli	cation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 - 41</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the I					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	\$ 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, p 00 0.0.0.	3 (4) 6. (1).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri					
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		s)/Mail Date			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5) ☐ Notice of I 6) ☐ Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					
TOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20060625			

Art Unit: 3768

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 2 - 41 arerejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because

the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. According to the PTO's Interim Guidelines regarding statutory subject matter consideration under 35 USC 101 (promulgated O.G. 11/22/05), if a claim or claims fails to result in a physical transformation of an object or thing, then the Examiner is charged with determining if the claim or claims results in a real, concrete and tangible result or if such inherently flows from same. Here all claims relate to the self-optimization subject matter and there is therefore no physical transformation. In all instances including selection of a frequency or threshold or other operating parameter, the end result of the claim is an optimized quantized selection, i.e. a numerical result which constitutes an abstraction with respect to a real-world result. It therefore appears that applicants must claim a real world result such as the display or storage of a diagnostic image as a result of the intermediate optimization protocols.

This action is not made final however the case should be prepared for final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj 062506

Francis & Jaworski Primary Examiner